

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/966,639	09/28/2001	Douglas E. LeCrone	E30-052 (00-198)	4987	
7:	590 12/31/2003	EXAMINER			
George A. Herbster, Esq.			HUYNH, KIM NGOC		
Suite 303 Hrbor's Point		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
40 Beach Stree		2182	6		
Manchester, MA 01944			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						ppa			
‡ + x)			Applicati r	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/966,639	•	LECRONE ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Kim Huynh		2182					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appe	ears on th	over shet with the c	orrespondence add	lress			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN isions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. 80) days, a reply attutory period with will, by statute, or	6(a). In no even within the statuto ill apply and will cause the applic	i, however, may a reply be tim bry minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>28 Se</u>	eptember 20	<u>01</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This a	action is nor	-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· —	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.								
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election red	luirement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
-	The specification is objected to by th								
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
* S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action of the attached detailed Office action of the application of the foreign lands of the translation of the foreign lands of the first sentence was included in the first sentence was included in the first sentence.	documents documents of the priori onal Bureau on for a list of for domestic ed in the first onguage provior domestic	s have been that the control of the certific priority und the sentence of the certific priority und the certific priority	received. received in Application ts have been received 17.2(a)). ed copies not received ter 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of the specification or lication has been received ter 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National S ed. e) (to a provisional in an Application [eived. and/or 121 since a	application) Data Sheet.			
Attachmen				n	(DTO 442) Dames No.(-)				
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (f nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F		•	i) Interview Summary i) Notice of Informal Page i) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/966,639 Page 2

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a. Claims 1 and 10 are misdescriptive because the claims recite that the determination of operating validity is done during normal operation and also during an address switch. It is unclear what applicant intends by this limitation. What does applicant defines as "normal operation"? Is this "normal operation" different from the "address switch" operation? Are the determining and verifying of operating validity the same or different and if they are different, how are they different from each other.

The "operating validity" is also vague since it is unclear what applicant intend to be the operating parameters to be validated encompasses by the claimed invention.

b. Claim 10 is an apparatus claim, however, the last line of the preamble recites "said method comprising" which makes the claim indefinite.

Correction/clarification required.

3. The following rejections are made based on the examiner's best interpretation of the claims in light of the 35 USC 112 rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,639 Page 3

Art Unit: 2182

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Beardsley et al. (US 56,304,980).

Claims 1 and 10, Beardsley discloses a method and apparatus for enabling the mirroring of data in a first and second groups of pluralities of logical devices (104-107 or 206 and 216) between a first and second channel in normal operation wherein each having an identifying control block (using same serial volume and SASD addresses in primary and secondary, see background); wherein during normal operation, the operating validity of the first and second groups of logical devices is determined (checking to see if the secondary storage controller supports the reserve features done routinely done, i.e. during normal operation, col. 15, II. 4-31 or checking all applications running on the processor for planned maintenance action and load balancing, col. 16, II. 19-45) and initiation of the address switch is done by verifying the operating validity and exchanging the information in each control block associated with the first and second groups of logical devices with each other and subsequent I/O requests are directed to

the second group of logical devices, peer to peer dynamic address switching PDAS, (Fig. 8, col. 16, II. 32-68).

Claims 2-3 and 11-12, Beardsley discloses the determination of operating validity occurs asynchronously and independently of exchange of control block of information and is make periodically (reserve determination and checking is done asynchronously and independent of swapping operation as per the discussion in col. 15, II. 4-31 and col. 16, II. 19-45).

Claims 4-5 and 13-14, Beardsley discloses the processor and logical devices can operate with different configurations (reserve state, col. 5, II. 49-59) and operating modes (mirror, maintenance and load balancing) and determination responds to a change in the configuration or operating modes of the first group of logical devices.

Claims 6-7 and 15-16, Beardsley discloses the determination includes validity for each of the logical devices in the first group and its corresponding logical device in the second group (col. 20, II. 59-66) and data structure include validity flag set by the validity determination (col. 14, II. 20-44 and col. 20, I. 66 through col. 21, I. 13).

Claims 8-9 and 17-18, Beardsley discloses the exchange of information occurs while all logical device have been blocked for responding to any I/O request, and being released after all the exchanges are made whereby the redirection of I/O requests occurs essentially simultaneously (Fig. 10, interrupt to hosts 1020 and resumes I/O from hosts 1075-1080).

Application/Control Number: 09/966,639 Page 5

Art Unit: 2182

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kern et al. (US 6,484,187) and Burton et al. (US 6,526,419) disclose various apparatus for mirroring data between primary and secondary DASD).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Huynh whose telephone number is (703) 308-1678.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Kim Huynh

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2182

KH December 23, 2003